

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Ikot 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/829,774 | 04/10/2001 | Gregory V. Andrews | 2222/9 | 1558 |
| 7590 12/02/2003 | | EXAMINER | | |
| W. Thad Adams, III | | | PIERCE, JEREMY R | |
| Adams, Schwartz & Evans, P.A. 2180 Two First Union Center | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Charlotte, NC 28282 | | | 1771 | |

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | | A- | | |
|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| , - , | | Applica | tion No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| | | 09/829, | .774 | ANDREWS, GRE | GORY V. | | |
| Office Action Summary | | Examin | er | Art Unit | | | |
| | | <u> </u> | R. Pierce | 1771 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply | inication appears on t | he cover sheet w | ith the correspondence a | ddress | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this core period for reply specified above is less than thirty a period for reply is specified above, the maximum ret to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ommunication. (30) days, a reply within the sistatutory period will apply and oly will, by statute, cause the a | event, however, may a tatutory minimum of thi will expire SIX (6) MOI pplication to become A | reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered tim NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) f | iled on <u>21 October 20</u> | <u>003</u> . | | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL . | 2b)⊠ This action is | non-final. | | | | |
| 3)[| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1.4.6.7.9.11.12.14-36 and</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-34</u> is/ Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1.4.6.7.9.11.12.14-17.35</u> , Claim(s) <u>38 and 40</u> is/are objected Claim(s) are subject to rest | are withdrawn from c 36,39 and 41-43 is/a to. | onsideration. | on. | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/ar Applicant may not request that any obgenerated the properties of the oath or declaration is objected. | e: a) accepted or liection to the drawing(sing the correction is requ |) be held in abeya uired if the drawing | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C | | | |
| • | ander 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | to by the Examination | | a amountainer of former | | | |
| 12) \(\begin{array}{c} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ | Acknowledgment is made of a claimater and a cl | cy documents have be by documents have be s of the priority docur ional Bureau (PCT R ion for a list of the ce for domestic priority led in the first senten- anguage provisional a for domestic priority | een received. een received in Aments have beer ule 17.2(a)). rtified copies not under 35 U.S.C. ce of the specific application has bunder 35 U.S.C. | Application No In received in this National It received. It is \$ 119(e) (to a provisional It cation or in an Application It received. It is a provisional to the second of the s | al application) n Data Sheet. e a specific | | |
| 2) Notic | t(s) re of References Cited (PTO-892) re of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) | | | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Informal Patent Application (PT | | | |

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 21, 2003 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. Applicant's amendment filed on October 21, 2003 has amended claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14-16, 35, 36, and 38. Claims 39-43 have been added. Claims 18-34 remain withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14-17, 36, 39, and 41-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guevel et al. (FR 2,668,176 with English translation provided) in view of Shi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,721,179).

Guevel et al. disclose a composite yarn comprising a core of metallic fibers, and which may include other thermoplastic synthetic fibers (page 4). The yarn has a

Art Unit: 1771

covering thread of water-soluble polyvinyl alcohol (pages 4-5). Guevel et al. do not teach the other thermoplastic synthetic fiber may be elastomeric. Shi et al. also teach a composite yarn wherein the core comprises both metal wire and synthetic fibers (column 2, lines 6-12). Shi et al. disclose that the synthetic fibers may be rubber or spandex (column 2, line 21). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the elastomeric fibers in the core of Guevel et al. in order to make a knitted product with more flexible yarns, as taught by Shi et al. With regard to claims 9 and 17, both Guevel et al. (page 2) and Shi et al. (column 2, lines 6-7) teach weaving and knitting with the composite yarn. With regard to claim 11, the metallic fibers of Guevel et al. are multi-filament (page 4). With regard to claim 36, Shi et al. also teach including elastomeric strands in the wrap as well as the cover (column 2, lines 13-17). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include elastomeric fibers in the wrap of Guevel et al. in order to make a knitted product with more flexible yarns, as taught by Shi et al.

5. Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guevel et al. in view of Shi et al. as applied above, and further in view of Rosenstein et al. (U.S. Patent No. 3,769,787).

Guevel et al. do not disclose wrapping two soluble filaments around the multifilament core. Rosenstein et al. disclose wrapping a multi-filament core helically in both clockwise and counterclockwise directions can better prepare a fiber for knitting (column 2, lines 29-46). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to

Art Unit: 1771

wrap the metal fibers of Guevel et al. in both clockwise and counterclockwise directions in order to make a more compact fiber that can be knitted more easily, as taught by Rosenstein et al.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 38 and 40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: U.S. Patent No. 5,442,815 to Cordova et al. disclosing the use of elastomeric core yarns in protective gloves (column 9, lines 26-50).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy R. Pierce whose telephone number is (703) 605-4243. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7-4:30 and alternate Fridays 7-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 1771

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

JRP

jrp

ELIZABETH M. COLE PRIMARY EXAMINER